



**REPUBLIC OF SOUTH-AFRICA**

HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA  
GAUTENG DIVISION  
PRETORIA

---

**NOTICE REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGE PRESIDENT'S  
DIRECTIVES FOR THE UNOPPOSED MOTION COURT BEFORE JUDGE  
SWANEPOEL ON 30 October , November 2023 .**

**Secretary: Sonya Gillissen**  
**Room 5.5**  
**Tel.: 012 – 492 6772 / 079 400 1963**  
**Email: [sgillissen@judiciary.org.za](mailto:sgillissen@judiciary.org.za)**

1. The proceedings will be conducted in Open Court starting at 10:00am.
2. A complete Practice Note, stating the nature of the application for the date on which the application is on the roll, should be filed on Caselines setting out at least the following:
  - 2.1 Was the matter previously postponed? If so, what was the reason for the postponement?
  - 2.2 If the papers consist of more than just the application concerned, (for instance, previous applications or pleadings not relevant to the application) the application must be properly identified by reference to the section and page number to avoid a search for it;
  - 2.3 The return of service and set down relevant to the date of hearing must be identified by reference to the section and page number;
  - 2.4 If personal service is necessary, (for instance in sequestration or rule 46 A applications) it must be stated and if this requirement was not complied with, reasons must be provided;

- 2.5 Where certain practice or legal requirements have to be complied with (for instance in Rule 46A applications) compliance with all those requirements must be clearly stated and then also identified in the papers by reference to the section, page number(s) and paragraph;
  - 2.6 If there is any problem with the application, it must be clearly identified and disclosed. If there is no problem, it must be submitted that the papers are in order;
  - 2.7 Name and contact details of counsel and the attorney (tel. no. and email address) must be stated.
3. If there is any other information to be conveyed, it must also be stated in the practice note.
  4. The filing of heads of argument will not replace the duty to file a practice note in terms of this Directive.
  5. In all applications where heads of argument must be filed, a failure to do so may also result in the application being struck from the roll.
  6. Draft Orders, indicating the date and particulars of the Judge, must be filed on Caselines for the court to consider.
  7. The Practice Note, Draft order and/or heads of argument (if applicable) must be filed by **no later than 8:00am on the last court day before the hearing**.
  8. It is the responsibility of the applicant's attorney to ensure that the matter is TIMEOUSLY, PROPERLY AND FULLY uploaded onto Caselines and that the Judge and his clerk will have access to the matter at the latest at 8:00 on the day before the date of hearing to enable the Judge to read the papers.  
Thereafter the matters will be frozen on case lines. Late filing or updates will not be accepted.

**J Swanepoel**

**JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT**

**PRETORIA**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA**

**GAUTENG DIVISION PRETORIA**

**UNOPPOSED MATTERS UPLOADED ON CASELINES**

**30 OCTOBER 2023**

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE SWANEPOEL J**

45. P MILAZI	VS	SA LEGAL PRACTICE	45162/19
46. BMW FINANCE	VS	N MUTHAPHULI	84482/19
47. ABSA BANK	VS	M SIHLANGU	45478/21
48. E LOUIS	VS	R A F	72013/19
49. B/C LION SABDS	VS	L MORERIANE	026979/23
50. STD BANK	VS	MATHEKHOA MAINTENANCE	70442/19
51. STD BANK	VS	R MTHEMBU	27289/22
52. E PRETORIUS	VS	S PRETORIUS	064674/23
53. M SHEZI	VS	BIZ AFRIKA CONS	23492/22

54. NEDBANK	VS	G MALOYI	079998/23
55. ERGOFLEX 161	VS	PRIMAT CONSTRUCTION	072928/23
56. M SEBATA	VS	L MOROPA	B1334/23
57. BRIDGELINK	VS	T DIEDERICKS	16188/13
58. ABSA BANK	VS	E MASANGU	057222/23
59. GECKO PRINTING	VS	C BEETGE	070458/23
60. N M NKETLE			64593/16
61. NEDBANK	VS	B SMIT	039210/22
62. MERC-BENZ	VS	P GASA	054937/22
63. M MOLOTO	VS	ADV R MORAKE	077847/23
64. T KHOZA	VS	J KHOZA	42814/21
65. V MOLOKOMME	VS	N MOLOKOMME	026088/22

66. J JORDAAN	VS	N LE ROUX	070088/23
67. BUIDERS WAREHOUSE	VS	F KAMFFER	19592/22
68. NEDBANK	VS	MALULEKE	012595/23
69. ABSA BANK	VS	MBONGO BP	46140/21
70. EX PARTE : M LE GRANGE			B1976/23
71. B/C HOLLYWOOD	VS	SIKAM TCHAMO	30387/22
72. ADV VAN ROOYEN	VS	R A F	27394/21
73. STD BANK	VS	M TYWABI	B3889/23
74. CHANGING TIDES	VS	M SITHOLE	56456/20
75. D RAATS	VS	R A F	20743/17
76. SAVYON BUILDING	VS	JULES PHARMAC	043234/23
77. B/C OF HAMILTON	VS	M SELEKA	045919/22

78. B/C THEATRE GARDENS VS T NYAKANE 009695/22

79. B/C TULBACH PARK VS N THWABALA 013893/23

80. B/C WEEPING VS E MAFOKWANE 012270/23

**CASELINE BY THE TIME THIS ROLL WAS FINALISED THE UNDERMENTIONED COURT ONLINE MATTERS WERE NOT DISPLAYING DOCUMENTS ON**

81. K MONGWE VS PULE INC 045513/23

82. M SHAI VS PULE INC 045517/23

83. ROLEX SA VS ROLEX E EMAMEYAN 024204/23

84. AASYS PTY VS EPCM BONISANA 071823/23

85. AFRICAN REINFORCING VS IJUBANE CONSTRUCTION 030913/22

86. FIRSTRAND VS C MATAMBO 062899/23

87. FIRSTRAND VS N GULITSHANA 062402/23

88. FIRSTRAND

VS T MMUSI

054900/23

89. M VAN NIEKERK

028640/22

90. A SHABAN+1

VS SAPO

018953/22