



## REPUBLIC OF SOUTH-AFRICA

HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA  
GAUTENG DIVISION  
PRETORIA

---

### **NOTICE REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGE PRESIDENT'S DIRECTIVES FOR THE UNOPPOSED MOTION COURT BEFORE**

#### **ACTING JUDGE RANGATA**

**FRIDAY 11 OCTOBER 2024**

**Secretary:** Anna – Marie Nieuwoudt  
Room 1.9  
Tel.: 083 414 5652  
Email: AnNieuwoudt@judiciary.org.za

1. The proceedings will be **heard in Open Court commencing at 10:00am.**
2. A complete Practice Note, stating the nature of the application for the date on which the application is on the roll, should be filed on Caselines setting out at least the following:
  - 2.1 Was the matter previously postponed? If so, what was the reason for the postponement?
  - 2.2 If the papers consist of more than just the application concerned, (for instance, previous applications or pleadings not relevant to the application) the application must be properly identified by reference to the section and page number to avoid a search for it;
  - 2.3 The return of service and set down relevant to the date of hearing must be identified by reference to the section and page number;
  - 2.4 If personal service is necessary, (for instance in sequestration or rule 46 A applications) it must be stated and if this requirement was not complied with, reasons must be provided;
  - 2.5 Where certain practice or legal requirements have to be complied with (for instance in Rule 46A applications) compliance with all those requirements

must be clearly stated and then also identified in the papers by reference to the section, page number(s) and paragraph;

- 2.6 If there is any problem with the application, it must be clearly identified and disclosed. If there is no problem, it must be submitted that the papers are in order;
  - 2.7 Name and contact details of counsel and the attorney (tel. no. and email address) must be stated.
3. If there is any other information to be conveyed, it must also be stated in the practice note.
  4. The filing of heads of argument will not replace the duty to file a practice note in terms of this Directive.
  5. In all applications where heads of argument must be filed, a failure to do so may also result in the application being struck from the roll.
  6. **The Practice Note, Draft order (indicating the date & particulars of the Judge) and/or heads of argument (if applicable) must be filed on case lines by no later than 08h00 on the last court day before the hearing. Thereafter the matters will be frozen on CaseLines.**
  7. **Please hand two (2) copies of draft order up in court on day of hearing.**
  8. It is the responsibility of the applicant's attorney to ensure that the matter is TIMEOUSLY, PROPERLY AND FULLY uploaded onto Caselines and that the Judge and his clerk will have access to the matter at the latest at 8:00 on the day before the date of hearing to enable the Judge to read the papers.

***ACTING JUDGE RANGATA***

***JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT***

***PRETORIA***

## UPOPOSED MOTIONS

**11 OCTOBER 2024**

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE RANGATA AJ**

1. (72) IPP MINING&MATERIALS VS KEATON MINING LTD 101248/23
2. (73) FLANAGAN&GERARD INVESTMENTS VS 2013/175772/07 PANAROTTIS 065455/24
3. (74) MILK SA LTD VS OAK SPRINGS DAIRY 053402/24
4. (75) MILK SA LTD VS VEK TRADING CC 051896/24
5. (76) M MUDAU VS DG HOME AFFAIRS 019186/24
6. (77) MUSTEK LTD VS ENHANCED BUSINESS SOLUTIONS 047126/24
7. (78) N G SISWANA VS L SISWANA 050238/24
8. (79) N B CHAVALALA VS HAMMANSKRAAL SAPS+3 044510/24
9. (80) EX PARTE: D X COUNIHAN 071775/24
10. (81) EX PARTE: G B BURNS 056439/24
11. (82) P SCHNEIDER VS P&G SCHNEIDER FAMILY HOLDING 091091/24
12. (83) P MUKWEVHO VS DG HOME AFFAIRS 017876/24
13. (84) NEUNET PROPERTIES VS RAF 029158/23
14. (85) NEUNET PROPERTIES VS RAF 054624/23
15. (86) NEUNET PROPERTIES VS RAF 054571/23

16. (87) NEWNET PROPERTIES	VS	RAF	038850/23
17. (88) EX PARTE: L BOSCH			082049/24
18. (89) EX PARTE: N NEL			037096/24
19. (90) SB GUARANTEE	VS	D P GROBLER	055946/24
20. (91) J L SMITH	VS	RAF	48636/21
21. (92) NEDBANK LTD	VS	M E NYOKONG	020342/22
22. (93) F DE WIT	VS	RAF	34843/14
23. (94) A H LINDEN	VS	RAF	84358/17
24. (95) MEDICLINIC MIDSTREAM	VS	Y MOHAMED	18936/22
25. (96) STD BANK LTD	VS	M MOXOLO	077688/24
26. (97) B/C OF WEEPING WILLOW	VS	M T MALATJI+1	48002/20
27. (98) CLASSIC DIMENSION STONE MINING	VS	M V MARCENARO+2	63586/21
28. (99) A H SCHOEMAN	VS	RAF	37879/22
29. (100) M M KGATLE	VS	MASTER OF COURT, PTA	37922/15
30. (101) M P TLHABANELO	VS	RAF	24873/20
31. (102) ABSA BANK LTD	VS	T P MANAMELA	024242/22
32. (103) CHANGING TIDES	VS	P KORFF+1	B1376/24

**BY THE TIME THIS ROLL WAS FINALISED THE UNDERMENTIONED COURT ONLINE MATTERS WERE NOT DISPLAYING DOCUMENTS ON CASELINE**

33. (104) REGISTRAR OF MEDICAL SCHEMES VS	J DAMONS	088058/23
34. (105) SB GUARANTEE	VS T O MABOHO	048667/24
35. (106) VRESTHENA LTD	VS CITY OF TSHWANE	042478/24
36. (107) N M MUKADI	VS DG HOME AFFAIRS	038873/23
37. (108) PIC LTD	VS KGWARA INVESTMENTS LTD	023779/24