



OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

TRIAL INTERLOCUTORY ROLL: 15 OCTOBER 2024

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: NDLOKOVANE. A.J. (Ms)

JUGDE'S SECRETARY CONTACT NUMBER: (010) 494-8556

JUGDE'S SECRETARY: GUGLIE LEBELO (GLEBELO@JUDICIARY.ORG.ZA)

**OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG)**



2024



TRIAL INTERLOCUTORY COURT ROLL FOR THE 15th C

PRESIDING JUDGE: The Honourable Madam Acting Justice Ndlovane

CONTACT PERSON: The Mr. Guglie Lebelo

EMAIL: GLEBELO@JUDICIARY.ORG.ZA

CONTACT NO: 078 628 4524
DEFAULT HEARING MODE: Open Court
COURT(ROOM): G-B

DIRECTIVES

PLEASE NOTE THE FOLLOWING DIRECTIVES BY THE PRESIDING JUDGE:

1. **HEARING DATE; TIME; MODE; AND, VENUE**

- (a) All matters are to, respectively, proceed on the date(s) and time(s) indicated herewith.
- (b) Proceedings will take place in an OPEN courtroom, to be announced soon after allocation by the Office of the DJP.
- (c) Only in exceptional justice-oriented circumstances, deemed by the Presiding Judge to be aiding in getting the matter expeditiously disposed of, and by prior arrangement with the Judge's Registrar, a virtual hearing mode, alternatively proposed, and agreed-upon by both parties, may be resorted (in)to.

2. **APPEARANCE**

- (a) Appearance will be required in all matters, save for:
 - i. those that have been duly removed by notice; and,
 - ii. those that arrangements were made with the Office of the Presiding Judge in charge to the effect that it will suffice for them to be decided on paper.
- (b) Thus, such arrangement, in case of matters to be decided on paper, or such Notice(s) of Removal, in case of matters to be removed by notice, must, where applicable, be uploaded as reasonably early as possible to avoid the need for physical appearance or rather, at the very least, a rational expectation of it by the Court.

3. **ACCESSIBILITY, PRACTICE NOTE AND HEADS OF ARGUMENT**

Legal representatives are to ensure that:

- (a) all of their matters are accessible to the presiding Judge and his/her Registrar on CaseLines by uploading a complete set of papers and, further, by creating the interface bundle for all Court-On-Line matters, if any at all.

- (b) updated Practice Note (*of a joint nature, where applicable*) is uploaded by no later than noon of the 11th **of October 2024**, this very Friday. *It ought to be borne in mind that the purpose of the Practice Note is to assist the Court in the efficient disposal of the matter. Thus, the Practice Note will, in addition to the information required in terms of the practice manual, contain a summary of the relief sought, together with the names, email addresses and cell numbers of the legal practitioners appearing. In a briefest of manner, it should set out all the pertinent issues of the matter and indicate precisely where each may be found on CaseLines. In this way, the Court will be well placed to ascertain whether the applicant is entitled to the sought relief.*
- (c) Succinct “HEADS OF ARGUMENT” are accordingly filed as reasonably early as possible, prior to the hearing date.

4. DRAFT ORDERS

- (a) Draft Orders must be duly completed and compliant (*i.e. they must contain the details of counsel; reflect the date of hearing; and, reflect the Judge’s particulars*).
- (b) In line with the applicable innards of paras 3(a) to (d) above, Draft Orders must also be accordingly uploaded onto CaseLines or CourtOnline, of which Counsel must, in addition thereto, physically bring one hardcopy to the hearing in Court.
- (c) These orders must be signature-ready (*i.e. please remove the word “draft” from the tramlines, delete the “further and/or alternative relief” prayer etc.*).
- (d) Accordingly marked, signed and stamped Court Orders will duly be delivered using platforms at the disposal of the respective parties.

5. ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION BETWEEN PARTIES AND THE PRESIDING OFFICER

- (a) In addition to communicating to the parties through his/her Registrar, the Judge may alternatively communicate with the parties’ legal representatives via widely shared notes posted on the electronic file.
- (b) Legal Representatives are to, thus, look out for these communications and accordingly respond to such communications.

6. DEADLINE AND BUNDLE FREEZING REGARDING DIGITAL AND (WHERE APPLICABLE) PHYSICAL FILES:

- (a) The bundle – *CaseLines & Court-On-Line* – will, accordingly, be frozen in line with the para 3(d) innards, *i.e. by no later than the noon of Monday, being the 11th of October 2024*, of which no further documents may be filed without the Judge’s explicitly communicated approval.
- (b) If a practitioner struggles to upload timeously, explanation of what steps have been taken (*and why it was not possible to upload*) ought to, in this regard, be communicated to Maja-wa-ga-Maja, whom, with the Judge’s approval, will then give further directions.
- (c) With reference to PDI/2024, at paragraph 25.11, practitioners may not alter or request an alteration of the bundle in order to upload documents out of time. If it is established that a practitioner uploaded documents

out of time, without condonation, the matter may be struck from the roll, of which a cost order – *de bonis propriis* – may be made against such delinquent practitioner.

- (d) If there is non-compliance with this Practice Directive and/or PDI/2024, the matter will be struck from the roll, unless good cause is shown for non-compliance.
7. A respondent who, despite being late to do so, wishes to oppose the granting of an order, must communicate that fact to Maja-wa-ga-Maja and to the applicant and, likewise, comply with this directive.
 8. Counsel or attorney for an applicant must, at once, when it becomes known that there is opposition, regardless of merits of the case, communicate that fact to Maja-wa-ga-Maja.
 9. Any concerns may be noted by the Court on the updated printed roll, which may also give an indication of the probable fate of each matter.

10. INTRODUCTIONS

- Unless there is, otherwise, anything pressing that the parties would like to bring to the attention of the Judge in the chamber(s) or corridors (*especially in a case where a matter is to be heard openly*), Counsel will introduce themselves in Court upon their matters being called on record.

11. FURTHER DIRECTIVES

- Any further directives in respect of the matter will be communicated to the relevant parties.

12. ENQUIRIES:

- For further information or just about any other related queries, parties are at liberty to get hold of GUGLIE LEBELO, his details and time and way(s) of contact of which appear on the face of the roll above.

NO.	CASE NUMBER	PARTIES	GRANTED	DISMISSED	WITHDRAWN
1.	2022/13158	HOWE MICHAEL vs PLATFORM 45 (PTY) LTD.			
2.	2016/04208	HAMKELA HAPPINESS BOBOTYANE vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
3.	2016/29275	SANGWENI NTOMBIZODWA vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
4.	2016/33119	MOLEBA ROBERT vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
5.	2016/07670	MOTSOENENG JOHANNA vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			

6.	2018/04054	LUDAKA AQHAMA vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
7.	2014/41991	MASETLE KEAMOGETSWE vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
8.	2022/037968	MOLOI TANE EPHRAIM vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND			
9.	2022/904	NKABULA MAMSY CYNTHIA vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
10.	2023/019438	MKOKA RAISIBE REBECCA vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
11.	2023/0064	MAJOLA JOSEPH THULANI vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
12.	2022/26439	MOKOENA KELEBOGILE MICKEN vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
13.	2023/095788	JALI MZWANDILE vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
14.	2018/21549	MBULI DINEO MAGDALINE vs THE MEMBER OF THE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL FOR HEALTH GAUTENG.			
15.	2016/25092	SHABANGU VELAPHI VELI vs MINISTER OF POLICE.			
16.	2024/096437	SEGAWANA VALENCIA KEGOMODITSWE vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
17.	2023/001549	ANDRERES BIANCA CLAUDIA vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
18.	2022/22707	QONDE NOKWANELE vs LANCELOT LENONO.			
19.	2024/096313	MAHDOO MICHEAL DOMINIC vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
20.	2019/28850	HOPANE DIKELEDI MAVIS vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
21.	2018/32261	SIBOBI BONGA vs PASSENGER RAIL AGENCY OF SOUTH AFRICA.			
22.	2016/54217	KOLOI MODISAOTSILE EDWIN vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
23.	2019/24266	MAILA SYDNEY vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
24.	2023/075942	FERNANDES JEAN PIERRE BARONET vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
25.	2015/18836	RADEBE MESHACK BONGANI vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
26.	2024/003846	MOLOTO LISBETH MOLOBANE vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
27.	2020/38666	SAUL GRAEME JAMES vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
28.	2020/15743	MFATI MANKALA ONNICCA vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			

29.	2021/49991	SITHEBE NOMPUMELO vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
30.	2023/096581	NIEUWOUDT DESIREE vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
31.	2020/20217	MATEMANE ABIE MICHAEL vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
32.	2022/00574	MKHABELE DUNISANI vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
33.	2021/46414	RALIKHUVHANA KATLEGO MARY vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
34.	2019/00263	MOTA DIBASE STANLEY vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
35.	2016/22693	NHLAPO BABA JOSEPH vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
36.	2019/21954	DANIELS IRENE MATSHIDISO vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
37.	2021/58201	RALIKHUVHANA KATLEGO MARY vs MEMBER OF THE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL FOR HEALTH GAUTENG.			
38.	2013/40197	RASEKWALO MOTHATHEGO JOHANNES vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
39.	2018/43776	MALEPA ETHAL PALESA vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
40.	2024/69704	MTHETHWA ZAMANYAMBOSE NOKWANDA vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
41.	2020/03642	MOKGABUKI MAKOMA vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
42.	2021/28543	MAGADA LAWERENCE vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
43.	2014/28020	DENGE YONELA vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
44.	2016/03004	HLATSHWAYO BLESSING vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
45.	2018/24506	NOMAXHOSA LINDIKHAYA vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
46.	2017/14104	QUPE MONGEZI BUHLE WELDON vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
47.	2018/11767	NDEYI GIFT KARABO vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			
48.	2020/21754	CUMACOR 140 (PTY) LTD vs PUBLIC INVESTMENT CORPORATION SOC LIMITED.			
49.	2022/042999	ROELOFSE WILINDA vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND.			