

## Deon Gericke

---

**From:** Sonya Gillissen <sgillissen90@gmail.com> .  
**Sent:** Friday, 23 October 2020 17:17  
**To:** adv@lawcircle.co.za; francis@clubadvocates.co.za; sophie@clubadvocates.co.za; hes1@law.co.za; info@churchsquare.co.za; northgautengadv@gmail.com; reception@loftusadv.co.za; stateattorneysPretoria@justice.co.za; admin@pabasa.co.za; mohammed desai; admin@blaonline.org.za; gcb@mweb.co.za; nfa.advocates@gmail.com; gautengsocadv@gmail.com; tracy.nothenagel@gcbsa.co.za; admin@csadvocates.co.za; nccadmin@law.co.za; sue@lawcircle.co.za; management@clubadvocates.co.za; info@jaa.org.za; info@gaa.org.za; info@internationalbarcouncil.co.za; issa@issa.org.za; jhbadaadmin@law.co.za; jhbbar@law.co.za; ppv@ppv.co.za; ptabar@law.co.za; Pretoria-FA@justice.gov.za  
**Subject:** Unopposed motion roll 27 October 2020  
**Attachments:** Notice with regard to Unopposed Motions before Judge DS Fourie 27 October 2020.doc; UNOPPOSED 27 OCTOBER 2020 JUDGE DE VOS J + JUDGE FOURIE J (1).rtf

Good day,

Attached hereto the unopposed motion roll for 27 October 2020. Also attached hereto the **Notice with regard to Unopposed Motions before Judge Fourie**.

Regards,  
Sonya Gillissen



**REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA**

HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA  
NORTH GAUTENG DIVISION  
P O Box 442, PRETORIA

**Tel: (012) 315-7711 Fax: (012) 315-7600**

---

**NOTICE REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGE PRESIDENT'S  
DIRECTIVES FOR THE UNOPPOSED MOTION COURT BEFORE JUDGE DS  
FOURIE ON 27 OCTOBER 2020**

1. The application shall be adjudicated “on paper only” (i.e. without an oral hearing) unless there is a written request for an oral hearing which must then be clearly set out in the practice note referred to herein below. **in such a case, the oral submissions must be summarised in the practice note to enable the Court to prepare properly.**
2. A **complete practice** note, stating the nature of the application for the date on which the application is on the roll, should be filed setting out at least the following:
  - 2.1 Was the matter previously postponed? If so, what was the reason for the postponement;
  - 2.2 if the papers consist of more than just the application concerned, (for instance, previous applications or pleadings not relevant to the application) the application must be properly identified by reference to the section and page number to avoid a search for it;
  - 2.3 The return of service relevant to the date of hearing must be identified by reference to the page number;

- 2.4 If service by the Sheriff was not necessary, the reason for that must be stated. The other form of service must then be identified by reference to the page number;
  - 2.5 If personal service is necessary, (for instance in sequestration applications) it must be stated and if this requirement was not complied with, reasons must be provided;
  - 2.6 Where certain practice or legal requirements have to be complied with (for instance in **Rule 46A applications**) compliance with **all those requirements** must be **clearly stated** and then **also identified** in the papers by reference to the page number(s) and par;
  - 2.7 If any Regulation (relating to Covid-19 or not) or Statute is applicable it **must be stated and compliance therewith be identified** in the papers by reference to the page number and par;
  - 2.8 If there is any problem with the application, it must be clearly **identified and disclosed**. If there is no problem it **must be stated that the papers are in order**;
  - 2.9 Name and contact details of counsel (tel nr and email address) must be stated.
3. If there is any other information to be considered by the court, it may also be stated in the practice note.
  4. **Failure to file a practice note in compliance with this Notice, dealing with each of the par referred to above separately and completely (i.e. par by par individually), to assist the court in considering the application properly, may result in the application being struck off the roll. If a particular par is not applicable, then it must be so indicated and not merely be ignored or omitted. The filing of heads of argument will not replace the duty to file a practice note in terms of this Directive. This requirement will be strictly applied.**
  5. In all applications where heads of argument must be filed, a failure to do so will also result in the application being struck of the roll.
  6. A proper and complete **Draft Order (using MS Word enabling variation thereof)** must be filed for the court to consider.

7. The practice note, Draft order and/or heads of argument (if applicable) must be filed by **no later than 12:00 on Monday 26 October 2020,** failing which the application will be struck off the roll. Filing must be done by **email to Me S Giliissen (sgillissen90@gmail.com)** to ensure **timeous receipt of the documents.**
8. Filing of these documents must be done **simultaneously**, i.e. in one batch (not page by page in different attachments) to avoid an individual search for it on email, stating the number of the case on the roll as well as the name of parties in the subject heading.

**DS FOURIE**

**JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA**

**(GAUTENG DIVISION PRETORIA)**

**(UNOPPOSED MATTERS UPLOADED ON CASELINES)**

**27 OCTOBER 2020**

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE DE VOS J**

1. STANDARD BANK	VS	LV SNYMAN	9328/18
2. STANDARD BANK	VS	V SMITH	11238/12
3. NEDBANK	VS	DC BOOYSEN	13698/19
4. FNB	VS	T LENGOLO	2641/20
5. EX PARTE CC ESTERHUIZEN			14000/20
6. EX PARTE C DENTON			9955/20
7. EX PARTE M ENGELBRECHT			31977/20
8. SBG	VS	R SEEDAT +1	73471/19

9. STANDARD BANK	VS	J GAVURE	24780/19
10. STANDARD BANK	VS	MD MAKHUBEDU	7490/20
11. STANDARD BANK	VS	CJ KRIEK	62479/19
12. EX PARTE V LEMMER			38900/20
13. EX PARTE DA GRIMMET			9764/20
14. EX PARTE GJ PRENTICE			9765/20
15. NEDBANK	VS	GJ CHRISTOFOROU	86122/19
16. NEDBANK	VS	I SEEDAT	93617/19
17. NEDBANK	VS	TR NAICFER	5199/20
18. NW MOHLALA	VS	RAF	59614/19
19. VC NDOU	VS	RAF	64613/19
20. NEDBANK	VS	MC NKUNA	59571/19
21. NEDBANK	VS	M MACAMO	62630/18

22. NEDBANK	VS	N KHOZA	45284/18
23. NEDBANK	VS	A MAHMOOD	71825/19
24. FNB	VS	OA RANDLE	52140/19
25. SB GUARANTEE	VS	A NAIDU	6356/20
26. SB GUARANTEE	VS	PA ZULU	49766/19
27. D NADESAN	VS	BJ THORNE	37459/20
28. M FOGWILL	VS	A FOGWILL	71922/18
29. EX PARTE A MENTZ			45306/20
30. FNB	VS	ML WILBERFORCE	60243/19
31. STANDARD BANK	VS	HT SWART	11352/20
32. BM MIYALI	VS	RAF	88622/16
33. EX PARTE D KAPP			38247/20

34. ABSA BANK	VS	DYNAMITE SCREENPRINTERS	90223/19
35. J MBONIMPA +6	VS	MEC GAUTENG HEALTH	75875/13
36. C KHUME	VS	RAF	28556/20



**27 OCTOBER 2020**

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE FOURIE J**

37. LM NEL	VS	RAF	62895/18
38. FNB	VS	EK MTILENI	85174/16
39. MERC-BENZ	VS	T MAKGATHO PTY LTD	87270/19
40. TEXEIRA	VS	MA DA SILVA	45913/20
41. UA GOUWS	VS	M HASSEN	22850/20
42. LOANS ACCEPTABLE	VS	P JORDAAN	17306/20
43. STANDARD BANK	VS	JB DE WET	47456/18
44. ABSA BANK	VS	PJ PRETORIUS	23092/20
45. W BOTHA	VS	J BOTHA	32093/20
46. STANDARD BANK	VS	MH MABELE	45562/19
47. S GOLDFAN	VS	CA GOLDFAN	8502/20

48. ABSA BANK	VS	LT NDLOVU	12470/18
49. NEDBANK	VS	KN KUOANE	53019/19
50. NEDBANK	VS	R MUSIKAHANHU	82431/19
51. RE SCHEEPERS	VS	MB SCHEEPERS	69060/14
52. L LOMBARD	VS	RAF	3722/18
53. EX PARTE E HALL			28100/20
54. ABSA BANK	VS	K SMIT	80893/18
55. KF MAKGAMATHA	VS	L MAKGAMATHA	17106/20
56. STANDARD BANK	VS	T MAZIBUKO	3378/20
57. STANDARD BANK	VS	CJ KRIEK +1	62497/19
58. EX PARTE MP RATLOU +1			8436/20
59. CATEGORY C:CBI ELECTRICAL AFRICAN	VS	IMPACT RECYCLING	5110/20

60. RP NKABINDE	VS	RAF	70443/16
61. JZ MULLER	VS	CR VISSER TRANSPORT	35372/20
62. FNB	VS	PA NKAOTA	47890/18
63. TJ LUPHOKO	VS	RAF	63775/16
64. NEWNET PROPERTIES	VS	RAF	28571/20
65. PA SIHLANGU	VS	RAF	21859/18
66. STANDARD BANK	VS	JA NHAVERNE	65970/19
67. EX PARTE L MYBURGH			533/20
68. STANDARD BANK	VS	JR MUSHI	67140/19
69. STANDARD BANK	VS	MA MAIEANE +1	66787/19
70. ABSA BANK	VS	TB NKUNA	1429/19
71. J CLOETE	VS	HJ CLOETE	25773/20
72. JC BEER +1	VS	VELOX LOGISTX	45956/20

73. CHANGING TIDES

VS

DJ SKOSANA +1

29733/19