

Deon Gericke

From: Sonya Gillissen <sgillissen90@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 October 2020 06:28
To: adv@lawcircle.co.za; francis@clubadvocates.co.za; sophie@clubadvocates.co.za; hes1@law.co.za; info@churchsquare.co.za; northgautengadv@gmail.com; reception@loftusadv.co.za; stateattorneysPretoria@justice.co.za; admin@pabasa.co.za; admin@blaonline.org.za; gcb@mweb.co.za; nfa.advocates@gmail.com; gautengsocadv@gmail.com; tracy.nothnagel@gcbisa.co.za; admin@csadvocates.co.za; nccadmin@law.co.za; sue@lawcircle.co.za; management@clubadvocates.co.za; info@gaa.org.za; info@internationalbarcouncil.co.za; issa@issa.org.za; jhbbar@law.co.za; jhbadaadmin@law.co.za; ppv@ppv.co.za; ptabar@law.co.za; Pretoria-FA@justice.gov.za; courtrolls@csadvocates.co.za; manager@gkchambers.co.za
Subject: Notice with regard to Unopposed Motions before Judge Fourie 29 October 2020
Attachments: Notice with regard to Unopposed Motions before Judge DS Fourie 29 October 2020.doc; UNOPPOSED 29 OCTOBER 2020 JUDGE DE VOS J + JUDGE FOURIE J (1).rtf

Good day,

Attached the Notice with regard to unopposed motions before Judge DS Fourie on 29 October 2020.

Regards,
Sonya Gillissen
079 400 1961



REPUBLIC OF SOUTH-AFRICA

HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
NORTH GAUTENG DIVISION
P O Box 442, PRETORIA

Tel: (012) 315-7711 Fax: (012) 315-7600

**NOTICE REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGE PRESIDENT'S
DIRECTIVES FOR THE UNOPPOSED MOTION COURT BEFORE JUDGE DS
FOURIE ON 29 OCTOBER 2020**

1. The application shall be adjudicated "on paper only" (i.e. without an oral hearing) unless there is a written request for an oral hearing which must then be clearly set out in the practice note referred to herein below. **In such a case, the oral submissions must be summarised in the practice note to enable the Court to prepare properly.**
2. A **complete practice** note, stating the nature of the application for the date on which the application is on the roll, **should be sent by email (and not uploaded onto Caselines as access may be a problem)** setting out at least the following:
 - 2.1 Was the matter previously postponed? If so, what was the reason for the postponement;
 - 2.2 If the papers consist of more than just the application concerned, (for instance, previous applications or pleadings not relevant to the application) the application must be properly identified by reference to the section and page number to avoid a search for it;

- 2.3 The return of service relevant to the date of hearing must be identified by reference to the page number;
 - 2.4 If service by the Sheriff was not necessary, the reason for that must be stated. The other form of service must then be identified by reference to the page number;
 - 2.5 If personal service is necessary, (for instance in sequestration applications) it must be stated and if this requirement was not complied with, reasons must be provided;
 - 2.6 Where certain practice or legal requirements have to be complied with (for instance in **Rule 46A applications**) compliance with **all those requirements** must be **clearly stated** and then **also identified** in the papers by reference to the page number(s) and par;
 - 2.7 If any Regulation (relating to Covid-19 or not) or Statute is applicable it **must be stated and compliance therewith be identified** in the papers by reference to the page number and par;
 - 2.8 If there is any problem with the application, it must be clearly **identified and disclosed**. If there is no problem it **must be stated that the papers are in order**;
 - 2.9 Name and contact details of counsel (tel nr and email address) must be stated.
3. If there is any other information to be considered by the court, it may also be stated in the practice note.
 4. **Failure to file a practice note in compliance with this Notice, dealing with each of the par referred to above separately and completely (i.e. par by par individually), to assist the court in considering the application properly, may result in the application being struck off the roll. If a particular par is not applicable, then it must be so indicated and not merely be ignored or omitted. The filing of heads of argument will not replace the duty to file a practice note in terms of this Directive. This requirement will be strictly applied.**
 5. In all applications where heads of argument must be filed, a failure to do so will also result in the application being struck of the roll.

6. A proper and complete **Practice Note and Draft Order (using MS Word enabling variation thereof) must be sent via email to me S Gillissen for the court to consider.** Uploading onto Caselines of the Practice note and draft order without an email thereof will be ignored.
7. The practice note, Draft order and/or heads of argument (if applicable) **must be sent via email to me S Gillissen by no later than 12:00 on Wednesday 28 October 2020, failing which the application will be struck off the roll.** Filing must be done by **email to Me S Gillissen (sgillissen90@gmail.com)** to ensure timeous receipt of the documents.
8. Filing of these documents must be done **simultaneously**, i.e. in one batch (not page by page in different attachments) to avoid an individual search for it on email, **stating the number of the case on the roll as well as the name of parties in the subject heading.**

DS FOURIE

JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT

27 October 2020

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

(GAUTENG DIVISION PRETORIA)

(UNOPPOSED MATTERS UPLOADED ON CASELINES)

29 OCTOBER 2020

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE DE VOS J

1. STANDARD BANK	VS	AZ NDLOVU	90120/19
2. R BOTHA	VS	PW BOLKE	34135/20
3. EX PARTE T BORNMAN			40163/20
4. EX PARTE J VISSER			40164/20
5. EX PARTE OS NAIDOO			81290/19
6. EX PARTE LL NEL			13985/20
7. EX PARTE TI MASILOANE			22324/20
8. STANDARD BANK	VS	AH MATHABA	71830/19

9. HMA BLOUS	VS	MIN HOME AFFAIRS	8708/20
10. J MOCKE	VS	H MOCKE	12266/19
11. EX PARTE R SPIES			42276/20
12. EX PARTE AJ ANNANDALE			28952/20
13. MOMENTUM METROPOLITAN	VS	BP MAUNGEDZO	34601/20
14. AE KADING	VS	N KADING	46843/20
15. SR MOHUBA	VS	AM MOHUBA	32882/16
16. STANDARD BANK	VS	KB NDUNGU	69397/19
17. PJ DE KOKER	VS	RAF	61247/13
18. EX PARTE CT SWANEPOEL			47948/20
19. GF HAMMAN	VS	CA HAMMAN	46842/20
20. EX PARTE M KRUGER			50217/20
21. EX PARTE MC MAKHUTLE			50218/20

22. EG ERWEE	VS	JC ERWEE	5380/20
23. T RAMLAGAN	VS	S RAMLAGAN	31955/20
24. BM HLATSHWAYO	VS	N MAART +1	6401/20
25. EX PARTE JP RICHTER			22851/20
26. NEDBANK	VS	FW VAN NIEKERK	88271/19
27. EX PARTE CA VERSTER			52555/20
28. NEDBANK	VS	CL MOLO	78609/19
29. NOORS WHOLESALE	VS	VAXIMAZO PTY	33790/20
30. STANDARD BANK	VS	D FATMAN	11830/20

29 OCTOBER 2020

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE FOURIE J

31. E WYBENGA	VS	RAF	27066/19
32. AC MOHALE	VS	RAF	90272/19
33. BL MODI	VS	RAF	34651/20
34. MS SEBOTHOMO	VS	RAF	4066/19
35. MK ACHESO	VS	RAF	36745/20
36. BMW FINANCIAL	VS	H DAVIDS	68892/19
37. EX PARTE JH WEIDEMAN			28108/20
38. D VAN BILION	VS	RAF	24107/20
39. MJ NTSEOANE	VS	RAF	9111/20
40. LENMED HEALTH	VS	RAF	37152/20
41. NS THYS	VS	MS THYS	52557/19

42. BMW FINANCIAL	VS	NS TANTSI	65774/19
43. AO HASSAN	VS	RAF	40234/20
44. MM THEJANE	VS	RAF	18776/16
45. CM OBO	VS	RAF	3323/20
46. HOORNIET	VS	RAF	32272/20
47. STANDARD BANK	VS	S CARDOSO	60533/19
48. SB GUARANTEE	VS	JS VAN DER MERWE	91126/19
49. SB GUARANTEE	VS	N MOYO	85472/19
50. DJ MARAIS	VS	L MARAIS	22730/17
51. EX PARTE N JANSEN			48894/20
52. EX PARTE MG PAGE			51644/20
53. CHANGING TIDES	VS	CNF DOS SANTOS	13965/17

54. CHANGING TIDES	VS	F KRIEL	19638/19
55. NEDBANK	VS	BBB AUTOBODY	69275/19
56. NEDBANK	VS	PJ VAN ROOYEN	58551/18
57. AJ GREYVENSTEIN	VS	FC GREYVENSTEIN	29493/20
58. T MULINDI	VS	V MULINDI	16534/20
59. BMW FINANCIAL	VS	LT NKOSI	72057/19
60. NEDBANK	VS	VS MAGAGULA	92163/19