

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG**

MONDAY 03RD AUGUST 2025

THE DEFAULT MODE OF HEARING OF MATTERS IS IN PHYSICAL COURT

**EVEN THOUGH THE DEFAULT MODE OF HEARINGS IS PHYSICAL, JUDGES
MAY ELECT TO PROCEED VIRTUALLY**

**LITIGANTS ARE TO CONFIRM THE MANNER IN WHICH THE HEARING WILL BE
CONDUCTED WITH THE SECRETARY OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE**

**IN VIRTUAL HEARINGS, THE LINK WILL BE CREATED BY THE SECRETARY
OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE AND CIRCULATED TO THE LITIGANTS**

OPPOSED MOTION

9C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE OPPERMAN J

9F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MOULTRIE J

8F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE S. KRUGER AJ

6E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MANENTSA AJ

6B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE W BEZUIDENHOUT AJ

INSOLVENCY COURT

11D BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WENTZEL-THOMPSON J

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE THERON AJ

SUMMARY JUDGMENT COURT

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MAIER-FRAWLEY J

GA BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE VAN ASWEGEN AJ

UNOPPOSED MOTION

GC BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MUDAU J

GD BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE ADAMS J

GE BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WANLESS J

URGENT APPLICATIONS

9A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE TWALA J

9B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MAHOMED J

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE DLWATHI AJ

SPECIAL MOTION

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE FISHER J
2025-238521 2025-204976

11A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MANOIM J
2026-084876

COMMERCIAL COURT

11E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MOTHA J
2019/43585

INCOME TAX COURT

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE YACOOB J
IT76955

FAMILY COURT

11B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE LIEBENBERG AJ

11C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE VON LUDWIG AJ

SPECIAL INTERLOCUTORY COURT

GB BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE DLAMINI J

1A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MABUDA AJ

RAF DEFAULT JUDGMENT COURT

8A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE UYS AJ

8A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE RYNEVELDT AJ

CRIMINAL APPEALS

4E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE KUNY J
AND BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE P JOHNSON AJ
A2025-234924 A2025-183804 A2026-057329 A2026-043388

FULL COURT APPEALS: CIVIL

11F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE CRUTCHFIELD J
AND BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE ALLEN AJ
AND BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE NDLOKOVANE AJ
A2022-017197

CIVIL APPEAL

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MZUZU AJ
AND BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE J. ROOS AJ
A2024-141209 A2026-065276

PART-HEARD CIVIL TRIAL

2A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE DIPPENAAR J
2021/58293

GENERAL CIVIL TRIALS

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WRIGHT J

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MDALANA-MAYISELA J

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WILSON J

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE DU PLESSIS J

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE LANGE AJ

6A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE KGOROEDIRA AJ

THE CIVIL TRIAL ROLL BEFORE MODIBA ADJP

THERE SHALL BE NO PHYSICAL TRIAL ROLL CALL.

PARTIES MUST COMPLY STRICTLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPH 19 OF THE REVISED CONSOLIDATED PRACTICE DIRECTIVE 01 OF 2024 (With effect from 26 February 2024 and amended on 12 June 2024)

LITIGANTS' ATTENTION IS DRAWN TO PARAGRAPHS 16 – 19 OF THE MEDIATION DIRECTIVE WHICH CAME INTO EFFECT ON 22 APRIL 2025 AS AMENDED ON 27 OCTOBER 2025

Regarding Practice Notes:

- 1. What is envisaged is a practice note filed **shortly before the trial** after a last check on whether a trial is necessary at all, and if so, how expeditiously can it be conducted. Axiomatically this assessment can only be made shortly before trial.*
- 2. In several instances, a practice note is filed months before the trial date. This is of **no value** in declaring the status quo on the eve of trial. When matters are struck off because no recent practice note was filed, parties are understandably disappointed. The **recent** practice note is critical to the optimal utilisation of court*

time, and it must therefore be so that it must indeed be recent.

*3. Litigants' attention is drawn to paragraphs 19.3, 19.4 and 19.5 of Practice Directive 01 of 2024 which came into effect from 26 February 2024 and which were amended on 12 June 2024. The practice note, prepared in accordance with the practice note templates annexed to the Directive must be uploaded not earlier than **7 court** days and not later than **5 court** days before the hearing set-down. If no practice note is timeously uploaded (or uploaded after the cut-off date), the matter shall automatically be removed from the roll, and the date forfeited. If the practice note is non-compliant with the practice manual or Practice Directive 01 of 2024, the matter shall be automatically removed and similarly the date forfeited. The provision shall be strictly applied.*

*4. LAST DATE TO SUBMIT PRACTICE NOTES: **MONDAY, 27 JULY 2026***

JUDGES, ACTING JUDGES AND LITIGANTS ARE REMINDED OF CHAPTER 6.9 OF THE PRACTICE MANUAL DATED OCTOBER 2018 WHICH DEALS WITH THE PROCEDURE TO ENROLL PART-HEARD CIVIL TRIALS

NOTE ON CIVIL TRIALS WHERE ON THE DAY IT IS CALLED THE PARTIES ANNOUNCE A DESIRE TO SETTLE

1. Judges and Acting Judges serving in the civil trial court must be circumspect when confronted by parties who seek a stand-down to settle.
2. Valuable judicial time is squandered whilst waiting on an agreement to be produced, if at all.
3. The standard procedure is that if a trial is not ready to run at 10h00 on the set down date it should be removed with no costs order. The judge is then available to take the next case.
4. In a case where the parties do settle in that week, an agreement can be brought to the judge who was presiding for it to be made an order. If settled later, the opportunity to re-enrol it on the settlement roll on one weeks' notice remains available
5. The cases awaiting a judge's attention must not be left to linger and risk being crowded out.

The abuse by parties is particularly prevalent in RAF cases.

NUMBER ON ROLL	CASE NO	PARTIES	DATE TRIAL DATE APPLIED FOR	DATE TRIAL DATE GRANTED	ALLOCATION/ORDER GRANTED
PART A: GENERAL CIVIL TRIALS					
	2021/22837	ROPER DEBORA JILL VS BARROW MICHAEL STUART			Matter is removed from the trial roll by notice
	2021/28812	ADRIAAN MALAN KRUGER VS DINAMIKA FINACIAL SERVICES (PTY) LTD AND OTHER			Matter is removed from the trial roll by notice. The action is withdrawn as it became settled.
1.	2021/29100	NDLOU-NDLOVU RESTA MASHUDU VS NDLOVU ELLIOT	20/05/2026	27/05/2026	Matter is removed from the trial roll by notice

2.	2023/042968	MPHEFHEDZI BUSINESS ENTERPRISE CC VS MINISTER OF HEALTH AND OTHERS	16/05/2024	30/07/2024	No practice note (as set out in paragraphs 19.3, 19.4 and 19.5 of the Judge President's Practice Directive 01 of 2024 as amended on 12 June 2024) was uploaded to the electronic file. No mediation report (annexure "D1") and Joint Minutes (annexure "D2") as set out in the Mediation Directive and Mediation Protocol of 22 April 2025 has been uploaded to the electronic file – Matter is struck off from the trial roll.
3.	2023/102861	MBATHA MLULEKI VS MINISTER OF POLICE AND OTHER Plaintiff: Adv H Marholeni Defendant: Adv M Moropa Arrest, detention Special plea of prescription Estimated duration: 1 – 3 days	24/07/2024	25/07/2024	KGORO EADIRA AJ Secretary: Ms T Mlangeni Email: TMlangeni@judiciary.org.za Telephone: 010 494 8366 Chambers 307, 3 rd Floor
4.	2011/26612	GRAU FRANCOISE VS LEVIN VAN ZYL	18/07/2024	25/07/2024	Matter is removed from the trial roll by notice – matter is settled

5.	2021/51760	MJV RECRUITMENT AND CONSULTING AGENCY VS MEDIA INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES SECTOR EDUCATION AND TRAINING AUTHORITY	18/07/2024	25/07/2024	Matter is removed from the trial roll by notice
6.	2020/34609	CONSOLIDATED STEEL INDUSTRIES (PTY) LTD VS CHRISTO ONDENDAAL INVESTMENTS CC AND OTHER	16/05/2024	24/07/2024	Matter is removed from the trial roll by notice
7.	2023/051805	NIVESH NAGAR VS PAVLO HOMER PHITIDIS Plaintiff: Adv A Govender SC Plaintiff seeks damages as against the defendant arising out of what the plaintiff contends to be racist and demeaning behaviour as well as the assault of the second plaintiff. The defendant denies this and contends that he was assaulted Estimated duration: 3 – 4 days	17/05/2024	29/05/2026	Matter is removed from the trial roll

8.	2024/006851	HELGARD RADEMEYR HONIBALL VS ELIZABETH HONIBALL	19/05/2026	26/05/2026	No practice note (as set out in paragraphs 19.3, 19.4 and 19.5 of the Judge President's Practice Directive 01 of 2024 as amended on 12 June 2024) was uploaded to the electronic file. No mediation report (annexure "D1") and Joint Minutes (annexure "D2") as set out in the Mediation Directive and Mediation Protocol of 22 April 2025 has been uploaded to the electronic file – Matter is struck off from the trial roll.
9.	2021/50856	AMLIN INVESTMENTS LTD AND OTHER VS SEIMA N.O SIMON MATLESHE AND 5 OTHERS	06/05/2026	14/05/2026	No practice note (as set out in paragraphs 19.3, 19.4 and 19.5 of the Judge President's Practice Directive 01 of 2024 as amended on 12 June 2024) was uploaded to the electronic file. Matter is struck off from the trial roll.

10.	2024/097092	SOUTH AFRICAN SECURITISATION PROGRAMME VS CRS CORPORATE RENTAL SOLUTIONS (PTY) LTD Plaintiff: Adv S Aucamp Plaintiff claims against defendants arising from the Main Cession Agreement concluded between the first defendant and Fintech Underwriting (Pty) Ltd, the subsequent cession chain from Fintech to Fintech Receivables 2 (RF) Ltd (FR2) and thereafter to plaintiff, and the guarantee/indemnity given by 2nd defendant. The claim concerns rental agreements concluded between first defendant and Kwantu Guest House CC (Kwantu) which agreements were ceded, ultimately, to plaintiff. Kwantu and Ms Nocwaka Cynthia Mazaleni resisted the enforcement proceedings brought against them. That action, the full bench appeal, and the subsequent application to the SCA were unsuccessful for plaintiff. Plaintiff's case is that the allegations advanced by Kwantu and Mazaleni, and the findings made in the Kwantu proceedings, triggered and/or established breaches by first defendant of the representations,	13/05/2026	14/05/2026	Matter is removed from the trial roll
-----	-------------	---	------------	------------	---------------------------------------

		warranties and undertakings contained in the Main Cession Agreement. Plaintiff claims the contractual consequences flowing from those breaches, including repurchase/indemnity consequences, costs and loss incurred. The plaintiff claims payment of the amount pleaded, interest at the contractual rate, and costs on the attorney and own client scale, against the defendants jointly and severally, the one paying the other to be absolved, subject to the pleaded guarantee limitation issue. Estimated duration: 3 – 4 days			
--	--	---	--	--	--

11.	2024/016242	KAYLA YVONNE BAKER VS BRIAN LORENZO BAKER Defendant: Adv T Lipshitz Divorce (proprietary and ancillary consequences of the marriage) Issues: Whether parties concluded a valid and binding settlement agreement at the mediation held on 10 November 2025 and, if so, what its terms and scope were Whether that agreement finally disposed of the divorce action and the disputes between the parties Whether the agreement is enforceable and ought to be made an order of court What costs order should follow from the plaintiff's alleged refusal or failure to implement the agreement If the Court finds that no binding settlement agreement was concluded, the following issues arise: What assets and liabilities comprise the parties' respective estates, and what are their values? What accrual, if any, arose in each party's estate and what amount is payable by one party to the other? What interests the parties hold in companies, trusts or other entities and to what extent those interests are relevant to the patrimonial relief?	25/02/2026	22/05/2026	Matter is removed from the trial roll by notice
-----	-------------	--	------------	------------	---

		Whether the plaintiff is entitled to rehabilitative maintenance and, if so, in what amount and for what period What methodology is to be applied in determining the parties' respective entitlements to the net proceeds of the sale of the jointly owned immovable properties, including the Shannon Road and Bruma properties? Whether the plaintiff has established a legal entitlement to the return of the BMW X5 M60i or, alternatively, payment of an amount in lieu thereof Whether the defendant has established a basis for an order of forfeiture of patrimonial benefits and, if so, the extent thereof. Whether the appointment of a receiver, referee or other suitably qualified person is necessary or appropriate to determine or give effect to the patrimonial relief Pre-trial conference: 13 August 2025; 23 February 2026 Minutes filed: 15 February 2026 Estimated duration: 4 – 5 days			
PART B: ROAD ACCIDENT FUND					

MATTERS REMOVED FROM THE ROLL BY NOTICE					
MATTERS NOT ON THE ROLL, COURT FILES ARE NOT WITH ROLL CALLING JUDGE 1. The civil trial roll is submitted to the Office of the Deputy Judge President by the Registrar approximately nine (09) court days before the trial date and was correct when it reached the Secretary of the Deputy Judge President. 2. The roll received from the registrar's office is then posted on the website of the Johannesburg Society of Advocates approximately five (05) court days before the trial date, where litigants may inspect the roll. 3. The failure of the registrar to include matters on the roll must be taken up with the Registrar <u>by no later than five (05) court days before the trial date</u>. For that purpose, kindly approach the registrar, Ms N Mvumbi (NMvumbi@judiciary.org.za / 010 494 8399) timeously to enquire about the enrolment. 4. If the registrar approves the enrolment, the matter may be enrolled and dealt with. If the registrar declines the enrolment, the parties may apply for a new trial date in terms of the existing Practice Directives. Last-minute enquiries will not be accommodated.					